Popa & KaoCS 161Spring 2023Computer SecurityDiscussion 9

Question 1 Cross-site not scripting

Consider a simple web messaging service. You receive messages from other users. The page shows all messages sent to you. Its HTML looks like this:

The user is off buying video games from Steam, while Mallory is trying to get ahold of them.

Users can include **arbitrary HTML code** messages and it will be concatenated into the page, **unsanitized**. Sounds crazy, doesn't it? However, they have a magical technique that prevents *any* JavaScript code from running. Period.

Q1.1 Discuss what an attacker could do to snoop on another user's messages. What specially crafted messages could Mallory have sent to steal this user's account verification code?

Solution: Mallory: Hi Enjoying your weekend?

This makes a request to attacker.com, sending the account verification code as part of the URL.

Take injection attacks seriously, even if modern defenses like having a Content Security Policy effectively prevent XSS.

Q1.2 Keeping in mind the attack you constructed in the previous part, what is a defense that can prevent against it?

Solution: Content Security Policy; We can specify the sources/domains that are allowed to be used for the tag or specify the sources to block. This will block tags with invalid sources and will stop the image from loading.

0

Question 2 Second-order linear... err I mean SQL injection

Alice likes to use a startup, NotAmazon, to do her online shopping. Whenever she adds an item to her cart, a POST request containing the field item is made. On receiving such a request, NotAmazon executes the following statement:

db.Exec(cart_add)

Each item in the cart is stored as a separate row in the cart table.

Q2.1 Alice is in desperate need of some pancake mix, but the website blocks her from adding more than 72 bags to her cart . Describe a POST request she can make to cause the cart_add statement to add 100 bags of pancake mix to her cart.

Solution: Note that Alice can see her own cookies so knows what **sessionToken** is. She can perform some basic SQL injection by sending a POST request with the **item** field set to:

pancake mix'), (\$sessionToken, 'pancake mix'), ... ; --

Where \$sessionToken is the string value of her sessionToken and (\$sessionToken, 'pancake mix') repeats 99 times. A similar attack could also be done by modifying the sessionToken itself

When a user visits their cart, NotAmazon populates the webpage with links to the items. If a user only has one item in their cart, NotAmazon optimizes the query (avoiding joins) by doing the following:

After part(a), Alice recognizes a great business opportunity and begins reselling all of NotAmazon's pancake mix at inflated prices. In a panic, NotAmazon fixes the vulnerability by parameterizing the cart_add statement.

Q2.2 Alice claims that parameterizing the cart_add statement won't stop her pancake mix trafficking empire. Describe how she can still add 100 bags of pancake mix to her cart. Assume that NotAmazon checks that sessionToken is valid before executing any queries involving it.

Solution: Alice can send a malicious POST request like part (a). Even though her input won't change the SQL statement from (a), it will still store her string in the database. Now, if she visits her cart we'll execute the optimized query. Note that link_query doesn't have any injection protections, so her input will maliciously change the SQL statement. The item field in her POST request should be something like:

```
pancake mix'; INSERT INTO cart (session, item) VALUES
($sessionToken, 'pancake mix'), ...; --
```

Moral of the story: Securing external facing APIs/queries is not enough.

Question 3 CSRF++

Patsy-Bank learned about the CSRF flaw on their site described above. They hired a security consultant who helped them fix it by adding a random CSRF token to the sensitive /transfer request. A valid request now looks like:

https://patsy-bank.com/transfer?to=bob&amount=10&token=<random>

The CSRF token is chosen randomly, separately for each user.

Not one to give up easily, Mallory starts looking at the welcome page. She loads the following URL in her browser:

```
https://patsy-bank.com/welcome?name=<script>alert("Jackpot!");</script>
```

When this page loaded, Mallory saw an alert pop up that says "Jackpot!". She smiles, knowing she can now force other bank customers to send her money.

Q3.1 What kind of attack is the welcome page vulnerable to? Provide the name of the category of attack.

Solution: Reflected XSS

Q3.2 Mallory plans to use this vulnerability to bypass the CSRF token defense. She'll replace the alert("Jackpot!"); with some carefully chosen JavaScript. What should her JavaScript do?

Solution: Load a payment form, extract the CSRF token, and then submit a transfer request with that CSRF token.

Or: Load a payment form, extract the CSRF token, and send it to Mallory.

Q3.3 patsy-bank.com sets SameSite=strict for all of its cookies. Does this stop the attack from part (b)? Assume the welcome page does not require a user to be logged in.

Solution: Nope, because the malicious request will be sent from the welcome page of patsy-bank.com which is of the correct origin domain.

Q3.4 Mallory wants to attack Bob, a customer of Patsy-Bank. Name one way that Mallory could try to get Bob to click on a link she constructed.

Solution: Send him an email with this link (making it look like a link to somewhere interesting). Post the link on a forum he visits. Set up a website that Bob will visit, and have the website open that link in an iframe. Send Bob a text message or a message on Facebook with the link.

(There are many possible answers.)